

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,879	11/05/2003	Amar K. Mohanty	MSU 4.1-617 6700	
21036	7590 07/20/2006		EXAMINER	
MCLEOD & MOYNE, P.C.			STAICOVICI, STEFAN	
OKEMOS, M	ONS PARKWAY II 48864		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i></i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,879	MOHANTY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefan Staicovici	1732	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	<i>lay 2006</i> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	8
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-15 and 17-22</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9-15 and 17-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		Can Ma	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	· ·	ed in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	,	ed.	
	,		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,,,,	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment filed May 9, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1-7, 9-15 and 17-22 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on May 9, 2006 is acknowledged. Because the claims of Group II have been canceled and applicants did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9-15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. (US Patent No. 4,885,340) and in further view of Cobb et al. (US Patent No. 6,100,320) and Sato (US Patent No. 4,619,879).

Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach the basic claimed process for making a fiber reinforced thermoplastic polymer composition including, melt-blending pellets of a nylon

Art Unit: 1732

material (thermoplastic) (second pellets) and cellulosic fibers (fillers), extruding said mixture into strands (second strands extruded from a second extruder) and comminuting said extruded strands into pellets to be used in a later, injection molding process (see paragraphs [0035]-[0044]). It is submitted that the nylon material has a melting temperature of about 200 °C, hence a processing (extrusion) temperature of about 200 °C.

Regarding claims 1, 10 and 18, although Sears *et al.* (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach nylon pellets, Sears *et al.* (US 2002/0000683 A1) do not teach forming nylon pellets by pelletizing extruded first strands. However, forming nylon pellets by pelletizing extruded nylon strands is well known as evidenced by Hamada *et al.* ('340) who teach a process for making nylon pellets including, providing a nylon composition, extruding said mixture into first strands, cooling said strands and cutting said first strands into nylon modified pellets. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to form a nylon mixture, extrude said mixture into first strands, cool said strands and cut said first strands as taught by Hamada *et al.* ('340) to obtain the nylon pellets in the process of Sears *et al.* (US 2002/0000683 A1) because Hamada *et al.* ('340) teach an efficient process of making nylon pellets, whereas Sears *et al.* (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach nylon pellets, hence requiring the teachings of Hamada *et al.* ('340) to function as described and also because of its well known status.

Further regarding claims 1, 10 and 18, and in regard to claims 5, 14 and 21, although Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) teach a nylon modified composition, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) do not teach adding 2.5-5 percent by weight of a metal salt to a thermoplastic composition in making

thermoplastic pellets, thereby forming a reaction product between the thermoplastic material and the metallic salt. Cobb et al. ('320) teach a process for making a polymer composition including, adding about 0.05 to 2 percent by weight of a zinc salt (metal salt)(zinc chloride) to a thermoplastic material in order to reduce the melting temperature of the thermoplastic material (see Abstract and col. 2, lines 55-62). Sato ('962) teaches the desirability of adding a low melting point additive to a nylon material (thermoplastic), thereby reducing its melting temperature (see col. 2, lines 43-46 and col. 3, line 55 through col. 4, line 17) in order to provide improved processing. Therefore, in view of the teachings of Sato ('962), it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add about 0.05 to 2 percent by weight of a zinc salt as taught by Cobb et al. ('320) to the nylon (thermoplastic) composition in making nylon (thermoplastic) pellets in the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) because, Sato ('962) specifically teaches the desirability of adding a low melting point additive to a nylon (thermoplastic) material, hence suggesting the use of the low melting point additive because the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) requires nylon (thermoplastic) pellets and also because, Cobb et al. ('320) specifically teach that adding a low melting point additive reduces melt fracture and provides for increased processing speed, hence providing for increased productivity and an improved molded product.

In regard to claims 2-3, 11 and 20, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach pulp (cellulosic) fibers (see paragraph [0037]) and wood fibers (see paragraph [0020]).

Specifically regarding claims 4, 13, and 19, Sears *et al.* (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach a nylon thermoplastic material (see paragraph [0055]).

Regarding claim 6, Sato ('962) teach the use of lithium halide to lower the melting temperature of a nylon composition (see col. 2,lines 40-60).

In regard to claims 7 and 15, Sears *et al.* (US 2002/0000683 A1) teaches extrusion and injection molding (see paragraphs [0043] and [0046]).

Specifically regarding claims 9, 17, and 22, Sears *et al.* (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach glass fibers (see paragraph [0057]).

Regarding claim 12, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach a compatibilizer (see paragraph [0032]). It is well known that a compatibilizer for a resin composite is a maleated compatibilizer. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a maleated compatibilizer in the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) and in further view of Cobb et al. ('320) and Sato ('962) because Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach a compatibilizer (see paragraph [0032]), hence suggesting the use of a maleated compatibilizer. Furher regarding claim 12, although Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) and in further view of Cobb et al. ('320) and Sato ('962) teach additives, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) and in further view of Cobb et al. ('320) do not teach a rubber toughening agent. However, the use of rubber as a toughening agent is well known. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide rubber as a toughening agent in the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) and in further view of Cobb et al. ('320) and Sato ('962) because of known advantages such as reduced cracking and increased mechanical strength, hence providing for an improved product.

Art Unit: 1732

5. Claims 1-7, 9-15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. (US Patent No. 4,885,340) and in further view of Sato (US Patent No. 4,619,879).

Sears *et al.* (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach the basic claimed process for making a fiber reinforced thermoplastic polymer composition including, melt-blending pellets of a nylon material (thermoplastic) (second pellets) and cellulosic fibers (fillers), extruding said mixture into strands (second strands extruded from a second extruder) and comminuting said extruded strands into pellets to be used in a later, injection molding process (see paragraphs [0035]-[0044]). It is submitted that the nylon material has a melting temperature of about 200 °C, hence a processing (extrusion) temperature of about 200 °C.

Regarding claims 1, 10 and 18, although Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach nylon pellets, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) do not teach forming nylon pellets by pelletizing extruded first strands. However, forming nylon pellets by pelletizing extruded nylon strands is well known as evidenced by Hamada et al. ('340) who teach a process for making nylon pellets including, providing a nylon composition, extruding said mixture into first strands, cooling said strands and cutting said first strands into nylon modified pellets. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to form a nylon mixture, extrude said mixture into first strands, cool said strands and cut said first strands as taught by Hamada et al. ('340) to obtain the nylon pellets in the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) because Hamada et al. ('340) teach an efficient process of making nylon pellets, whereas Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach nylon pellets, hence requiring the teachings of Hamada et al. ('340) to

Application/Control Number: 10/701,879 Page 7

Art Unit: 1732

function as described and also because of its well known status.

Further regarding claims 1, 10 and 18, and in regard to claims 5, 14 and 21, although Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) teach a nylon modified composition, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) do not teach adding 2.5-5 percent by weight of a metal salt to a thermoplastic composition in making thermoplastic pellets, thereby forming a reaction product between the thermoplastic material and the metallic salt. Sato ('962) teaches the desirability of adding 1-12 percent by weight of lithium chloride (a low melting point metal salt additive) to a nylon composition (thermoplastic), thereby reducing its melting temperature (see col. 2, lines 38-60 and col. 3, line 55 through col. 4, line 17) in order to provide improved processing. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add about 1-12 percent by weight of lithium chloride as taught by Sato ('962) to a nylon (thermoplastic) composition in making nylon (thermoplastic) pellets in the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) because, Sato ('962) specifically teaches the desirability of adding a low melting point additive to a nylon (thermoplastic) material, hence suggesting the use of the low melting point additive because the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) requires nylon (thermoplastic) pellets and also because of known advantages such as reduced melt fracture and increased productivity.

In regard to claims 2-3, 11 and 20, Sears *et al.* (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach pulp (cellulosic) fibers (see paragraph [0037]) and wood fibers (see paragraph [0020]).

Specifically regarding claims 4, 13, and 19, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach a

nylon thermoplastic material (see paragraph [0055]).

Regarding claim 6, Sato ('962) teaches the use of lithium halide (lithium chloride) to lower the melting temperature of a nylon composition (see col. 2, lines 40-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add about 1-12% by weight of lithium chloride as taught by Sato ('962) to a nylon (thermoplastic) composition in making nylon (thermoplastic) pellets in the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) because, Sato ('962) specifically teaches the desirability of adding a low melting point additive to a nylon (thermoplastic) material, hence suggesting the use of the low melting point additive because the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) requires nylon (thermoplastic) pellets and also because of known advantages such as reduced melt fracture and increased productivity.

In regard to claims 7 and 15, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teaches extrusion and injection molding (see paragraphs [0043] and [0046]).

Specifically regarding claims 9, 17, and 22, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach glass fibers (see paragraph [0057]).

Regarding claim 12, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach a compatibilizer (see paragraph [0032]). It is well known that a compatibilizer for a resin composite is a maleated compatibilizer. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a maleated compatibilizer in the process of Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) and in further view of Cobb et al. ('320) and Sato ('962) because Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) teach a compatibilizer (see paragraph [0032]), hence suggesting the Application/Control Number: 10/701,879

Art Unit: 1732

use of a maleated compatibilizer. Furher regarding claim 12, although Sears et al. (US

2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) and in further view of Cobb et al. ('320) and

Sato ('962) teach additives, Sears et al. (US 2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340)

and in further view of Cobb et al. ('320) do not teach a rubber toughening agent. However, the

use of rubber as a toughening agent is well known. It would have been obvious for one of

ordinary skill in the art to provide rubber as a toughening agent in the process of Sears et al. (US

2002/0000683 A1) in view of Hamada et al. ('340) and in further view of Cobb et al. ('320) and

Sato ('962) because of known advantages such as reduced cracking and increased mechanical

strength, hence providing for an improved product.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicants' arguments filed May 6, 2006 Applicant's have been fully considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stefan Staicovici, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Page 9

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stefan Staicovici, PhD

Primary Examiner

Jan Spaiconsi
H1406

AU 1732

July 14, 2006